



Minutes City Council Issue Review Session October 25, 2007

Minutes of the Tempe City Council Issue Review Session held on Thursday, October 25, 2007, 6:00 p.m., in the City Council Chambers, Tempe City Hall, 31 E. Fifth Street, Tempe, Arizona.

COUNCIL PRESENT:

Mayor Hugh Hallman

Vice Mayor Hut Hutson

Councilmember P. Ben Arredondo

Councilmember Barbara J. Carter

Councilmember Shana Ellis

Councilmember Mark W. Mitchell

Councilmember Onnie Shekerjian

Mayor Hallman called the meeting to order at 6:01 p.m.

Call to the Audience

Joe Pospicil, Tempe, re: Item #5. He felt the proposal is well done and should get some of the burden off the property owners and get some of the maintenance and operation onto the property owners who have rights to the water but who are not currently taking the water. There is some language about how the charge for the water will be assessed. SRP has based their charges by the size of the property and that seems to be the fair way.

Mayor Hallman asked Mr. Pospicil if he agreed that since SRP supplies no services, they have no reason to charge for the effort, because they simply supply the water in the canal and the property owner has the responsibility to turn the water on and off.

Mr. Pospicil responded that is part of the service charge. He has experienced a wide variance in times of service, and basing the rate on square feet of property would be fairer.

Allen Taylor, Tempe, Chairman of the Tempe Convention & Visitors Bureau Board re: Item #6. The TCVBV Board is in full support of this proposal. It would allow the pooling of resources, as well as providing more structure to how the events come to Tempe and how they get approved. Once the committee is put together, it will smooth out the process.

Homeland Security Preparedness

DISCUSSION – Presenters: Interim City Manager Jeff Kulaga; Police Chief Tom Ryff; Fire Chief Cliff Jones

Jeff Kulaga summarized that there had been a question on chain of responsibility during an emergency. The TOPOFF 4 drill, held last week in coordination with a nationwide effort on emergency preparedness, outlined the following order of responsibility:

- The first responders, typically fire or police personnel, would assess the event and provide information to the City Manager, and Police and Fire Chief would then advise the Mayor, who has the authority to declare a public emergency through a proclamation.
- The Mayor would determine the state of disaster and submit the proclamation calling for a public emergency. In that proclamation, the Mayor assigns the City Manager to act as the Director of Disaster Services.
- As director, the City Manager has the authority to issue rules to maintain the health, safety and welfare of the community. The City Manager also acts as the chief advisor, and “is responsible for the direct conduct and activities of activities necessary to the operation of emergency preparedness programs.”

In the TOPOFF 4, it was clear that there was a role for every City department in the organization. In the City's Emergency Operations Plan, the emergency responses should be tailored to insure effective response. City departments represented logistics, planning, finance administration, operations and public information throughout the exercise. The Police Chief and Fire Chief are in joint command. These two people have more than 60 years of public safety experience, and adding the experience of battalion chiefs and commanders, that equates to more than 100 years of public safety experience. On paper, the Mayor is in charge; at the EOC and in the field, it is a joint command and it is very direct.

Fire Chief Cliff Jones summarized that from the Fire Department perspective, the Fire Department works closely with the Police Department and other City departments in emergency management and they have an excellent working relationship. The command staff has been operative in major disasters, including the Oklahoma City bombing, and fire company members have operated at major disasters, including the California wildfires. They serve as a liaison with the Maricopa County Department of Emergency Management, and manage the governmental agreement with that agency. The Fire Department represents a number of specializations that all contribute to the mitigation and/or management of large scale disasters.

- Fire prevention and public education.
- Fire suppression
- Emergency medical services and the delivery of pre-hospital medical care for over 30 years.
- A Hazardous Materials Response Team has existed for over 20 years with the ability to respond to the types of disasters that people are anxious about in this day and age
- A dive rescue team and a technical rescue team, which is composed of firefighters with a specialization of extricating people from collapsed structures, trench cave-ins, etc.
- Since 9/11, the Department has a greatly enhanced ability to respond to mass casualty incidents, with additional training in terrorism response.
- Successful grant acquisitions of a special incident support truck, a heavy rescue truck, a squad truck

and a communications vehicle.

- A tactical liaison officer with the Arizona Counter-Terrorism Information Center.
- The Tempe Fire Department and the Valley Fire Service are essentially one fire department from an operational standpoint. The seven engine companies and two ladder companies become 209 engine companies and 39 ladder companies through this system. They operate from a combined communications center that tracks fire trucks by satellite interfaced with a computer-aided dispatch system so they always send the first unit and they have the ability to command the type of resources to an incident.
- An Emergency Operations Plan

Chief Jones continued that many suggestions for improvement will come from the TOPOFF 4 exercise last week, both from in-house evaluators and from external evaluators and there will be a lot of positive payoffs for the departments and the City as a result. One highlight of the event was a multiple language ability, both in an effort to talk to the media and to get messages out to citizens through the reverse 911 system if necessary. A second highlight was Karl Stephens, a new City employee working with the Diversity Office. His specialization is ADA accessibility and he addressed ADA compliance issues and facilitating the needs of people with special needs.

Police Chief Tom Ryff added that the Police Department is well prepared. All officers have been appropriately trained in the National Incident Management System, have the appropriate equipment, and have met nearly all required standards for this level of operation. Information-sharing on the law enforcement side is critical and they have officers strategically placed at city, county and state level to make sure the City is armed with the appropriate intelligence information coming in from the Joint Terrorism Task Force, as well as an officer at the Arizona Counter-terrorism Information Center that provides the Chief with timely information. He added that the Police Department is responsible for containment, neutralization, evacuation of affected areas, traffic control, and in post-incident, to review the circumstances and make appropriate investigations and reports.

Councilmember Carter asked how the City was selected for the TOPOFF 4 exercise.

Fire Chief Jones responded that Tempe was one of three. He didn't know how Tempe was selected, but it was very beneficial and we are fortunate to have gone through it.

Councilmember Arredondo reassured the residents that he had the opportunity to be involved with the exercise on two different days. It was rewarding to see our professional media people, Police, and Fire, as well as all departments working at the same tables, all doing their best for the citizens of Tempe. He congratulated all departments and he thought that at the federal level, the City will be reimbursed for anything. He was impressed with the warnings that went out in multiple languages right away. Over 60 languages are spoken in Tempe.

Vice Mayor Hutson thanked staff. He had been concerned about the priority of who was in command, having worked in IMS several years, being an instant commander, and understanding how the system works. After this briefing, he was convinced that the City's emergency management system plan is the best we can get.

Councilmember Shekerjian added that one of the best parts of the TOPOFF 4 exercise is what the departments do with the information. Citizens can feel good that the exercise didn't end when that exercise ended. She

knows the Chiefs have taken that information and have determined how we can improve based on that exercise. She is glad we had the opportunity to have this exercise and redefine and focus what our particular needs are in terms of emergency preparedness.

Mayor Hallman added that it was an impressive exercise, but what was important is that it revealed where we are not perfect. There were some who wondered why we would do this and some cities decided not to participate precisely because undertaking this exercise would reveal what they don't know and the kinds of things necessary to begin to address those things. The two Chiefs and their departments led the way to go forward and all the other departments participated in support of this effort in a real way. It took much time and effort to do this and test our systems. He commended everyone who made this test work. The real issue remains for what purpose. Some suggest that we are overworking these efforts, and that we are taking too much time to prepare, for example, for a terrorist attack. These exercises, however, address disasters that have happened in this country. We know what can happen when people are ill-prepared. There are events that occur on a daily basis that are localized emergencies, and a lot of the effort has been to prepare for those. All of the effort that was invested in this exercise carried lessons for all potential activities.

NO CONSENSUS – INFORMATION ONLY

Crime Rate Updates

INFORMATIONAL BACKGROUND available in City Clerk's Office.

DISCUSSION – Police Chief Tom Ryff; Assistant Police Chief Angel Carbajal; Director Brenda Buren

Police Chief Tom Ryff summarized that it has been approximately one year since he was appointed Chief of Police. He made a commitment to the community and his department that he would continue to make public safety a very important function of his role as Chief. First, he had to identify an executive staff to assist him in moving forward and he introduced three members of the executive staff: Assistant Police Chief Angel Carbajal, Assistant Chief John Rush, and Director Brenda Buren. They are the new leaders and they are the most qualified individuals in the department that have represented themselves as true leaders and have the understanding of what it takes to move the department forward. First, they made sure the organization is set forth to provide service for the future. Recently, staff presented a proposed reorganization to Council. They worked hard to see that their employees were set for success and methods to target crime in our community were employed. To do that, they utilized technology, equipment, training, and leadership to make sure the employees understood what was necessary to impact the community.

Assistant Chief Angel Carbajal summarized that the Chief's direction was to re-focus on fighting crime. The following crime suppression efforts have taken place:

- A Crime Suppression Team (CST) was created in June, with a primary focus to reduce vehicle crimes and street crimes. From that team, two ACTION teams (Attacking Crime Trends In Our Neighborhoods) were formed.
- Implemented Priority 0 "Swarm."
- Developed active partnership with ASU Police Department on the party patrols and bike teams.

- Worked with City Departments, including Public Works, to transmit in-progress crimes via radio.
- The CST utilizes continually updated geographic crime trend bulletins and CST Scorecard developed by the Crime Analysis Unit to track bi-weekly changes in specific crime and management indicators.

Director Brenda Buren summarized that the Uniform Crime Report (UCR) shows the following:

- Overall reduction in crime of 8.4% for the period of January – September 2007 compared to January – September 2006.
- Violent crime (crimes against people) is down 17.5%.
- Robberies are down nearly 14% and assaults are down 22%, which have been the focus of the crime suppression efforts.
- Property crime is down, including larceny and auto theft.
- The crime suppression effort was begun in July, and the July – September figures are very significant. Comparing that quarter to last year, it shows a reduction of 14.6% and of the overall reduction for the entire calendar year to date, 55% of that reduction happened in the last three months.

Councilmember Carter asked about gang activity.

Director Buren responded that they have joined the Fusion Center with the East Valley agencies and that Center has a representative from each agency to look specifically at gang-related crimes to make sure we are sharing information about different gangs and activities. They have good resources and better crime analysis.

Assistant Chief Carbajal added that operationally they are working smarter in that respect. They have always had a gang unit to investigate gang-related crimes, but more than ever, those gang detectives are talking to the robbery detectives because home invasion and robbery are very often tied to the gang issues. Getting that information out to the patrol officers and having those detectives attend briefings have also helped a great deal.

Director Buren added that through the reorganization they are putting together a tactical and intelligence center that will actually focus on getting that kind of information out into the field.

Councilmember Arredondo added that he and Councilmember Shekerjian have monitored the progress quarterly and he commended staff because, while it may not sound important, leadership mirrors the way our community looks, and that is an important process. Citizens feel good about that. Chief Ryff deserves a commendation because Scott Smith has been a blessing. When we need things and when we need to know what is going on, we get the information in a matter of minutes and that is important to an elected official. Whenever you see the good news or the bad news, you see it accurately because we get a statement from Mike Horn and he fits in well to what the police department leadership does. The City should be very proud of the fact that auto theft has been reduced by over 28%.

Mayor Hallman also recognized Scott Smith and Mike Horn. The communication level is critical and he encouraged them to continue to work to make those communications work well. This rate of reduction is tough to keep up because at some point, the rate of reduction cannot continue, so keep in mind that this is a huge success. It will get more difficult and he didn't want them to be held to such a standard that we think every day they can reduce crime another 28% because eventually it is impossible to do that. We have a unique

community, and he feels we are unfairly criticized when compared to some other communities. The City of Phoenix, from the last round of Department of Labor Statistics information, imports about 100,000 people per day for jobs (which is the net of those who leave Phoenix for their jobs and the people who come to Phoenix for their jobs). The City of Scottsdale's net import for people who get jobs there is about 30,000. The City of Tempe's net import for people is 60,000 on a daily basis. On top of that, there are 40,000 ASU students who do not declare Tempe as their permanent residence and who attend the main campus. That means somewhat more than 100,000 people a day come to Tempe for jobs or schools and that is completely different from any other city. Also note that Phoenix has 1.5 million people and the City of Tempe has 165,000. When we grow by 100,000 each day, we are almost doubling our population, so our crime statistics will be a little different. There are a lot of people here each day that aren't counted in the FBI's determination of the size of the city when they calculate the number of crimes per person. Notwithstanding that, our crime rates are relatively low, and when you consider that we have an extra 100,000 people, it is the safest city in the state. As a result, every day we should thank our police officers.

Councilmember Arredondo asked Mr. Kulaga to check the article in the newspaper yesterday and the phone survey being done today to see if there is a correlation.

Director Buren responded that they do that the last two weeks of October.

Mayor Hallman added that before that survey was done, when voters in Tempe were asked whether they believe the City of Tempe is a safe city, 92.5% responded that they believed it is a safe city.

NO CONSENSUS – INFORMATION ONLY

Establishing Historic Properties Process with Proposition 207

INFORMATIONAL BACKGROUND available in City Clerk's Office

DISCUSSION – Development Services Manager Chris Anaradian

Chris Anardian returned with a report on four issues of concern relating to the historic designation process and how it relates to Proposition 207.

- The current process in Tempe for historic designation of a residential district or property can be brought forward by a single owner located within the proposed district. That application is heard by the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) and if that commission determines the property is eligible, that case goes forward for a proposed zoning overlay of the Development Review Commission (DRC). Ultimately, the case comes before City Council for a granting of an historic designation and an accompanying overlay district. To this point, three designations have gone through that process.
- Proposition 207 approved by voters in Arizona enacts a provision where land use laws that are enacted, if they are determined to reduce the fair market value of a property, entitle that property owner to "just compensation." It has been a dilemma in that the designation of an historic property or district could produce claims of diminution of property value. As currently expected, those claims could result in a war of experts and protracted arguments trying to prove such a claim.

Mayor Hallman clarified that if some people opposed this, they could sue the City, claiming their property values had been reduced, and then there would be expert witnesses by both sides claiming that property values went up or down, and it would be determined by who believed which expert was right.

Mr. Anaradian added that such claims began to creep forward with the latest application for the Maple Ash Historic District. It did not come forward to Council for vote and resulted in two such claims by dissenting property owners. The Proposition and the process led to the question of what we can do to move project forward in our process in spite of that provision of Proposition 207.

Mr. Anaradian asked for direction to allow staff to work on code language that would slightly modify the process.

- Increase the representation that would bring forward a proposal, to have one applicant bring forward an application representing dozens of property owners.
- Lay the legal process under the historic preservation code, with both the Development Review Commission (DRC) and (HPC) review to establish a minimum buy-in to get more people on board and involved early in the process.
- Work with the Legal Department for an “opt-out” provision to the Historic Overlay process. Stipulations requiring waivers of diminution claims from supporters, and evidence of intent to sign “opt-out” exceptions from all owners affected, before consideration of an overlay zoning district by the DRC.
- Staff would also look at a third code text amendment to allow smaller groups of properties to come forward over time which may possibly result in an assemblage of a district over multiple applications.

Mayor Hallman clarified that two, three or four property owners together could make an application.

Mr. Anaradian responded that staff would like the opportunity to work that out. First is the process and second is the opt-out provision.

Mr. Anaradian summarized that he was also asked to report back on the idea of having guidelines first and the overlay second. Maple/Ash resulted in some discussion of form-based code and zoning. That was a specific solution proposed for that application, but the general concept is that people should know the rules of the game before they are signed up to play. If your neighborhood is going to be designated as a district and there will be some potential impact on how the property is redeveloped, staff would like to put that out front before it comes to Council for vote. Staff would ask for direction to explore that. He was also asked to report on the process of voluntary down-zoning. It is a simple process in the department. Over two years ago the process was streamlined, the same rezoning application for any other Tempe property. These are expedited, but no one has applied.

Mayor Hallman summarized that staff would like to move forward to investigate and think these things through.

Councilmember Arredondo suggested that this needs come to the Central City Development Committee of the Whole (CCD COW) for an update. Council needs to participate in this process.

Mayor Hallman directed that as staff thinks it is appropriate, come forward to the CCD COW.

Vice Mayor Hutson asked that the City Attorney keep the Council abreast of what is going on in Flagstaff where they are protesting Proposition 207. What comes out of that case might determine our direction.

CONSENSUS

1. Staff directed to prepare and review with Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) and Development Review Commission (DRC) code text amendments to the HPC Code establishing: (a) minimum required “buy-in” from property owners within proposed district before consideration of eligibility by HPC; (b) stipulations requiring waivers of diminution claims and evidence of intent to sign “opt-out” exceptions from all owners before consideration of overlay zoning district by DRC; and (c) provisions regarding designation of small groups of residential properties and potential creation of residential district through “mosaic” effect.
2. Staff to prepare and review with HPC and DRC code text amendments establishing “opt-out” provision to Historic Overlay process.
3. Staff to develop code text amendments to allow establishment of design guidelines for all districts as integral part of historical designation process.
4. Staff to continue to accept and process requests for down-zoning of residential properties within neighborhoods identified in list of Tempe Post World War II Subdivisions from General Plan 2030 with no associated fees.
5. Staff to present recommendations to the Central City District Committee of the Whole.
6. City Attorney’s Office to keep Council advised on lawsuits.

Follow-up Responsibility: Chris Anaradian, Andrew Ching

Flood Irrigation Update

INFORMATIONAL BACKGROUND available in City Clerk’s Office.

DISCUSSION – Presenter: Water Utilities Manager Don Hawkes

Vice Mayor Hutson declared a conflict of interest and left the table.

Mayor Hallman summarized that staff has requested direction to begin pulling this together to develop a matrix.

Councilmember Arredondo clarified that staff is not seeking a rate hike, but this is a request to study the issue and return with recommendations.

Mayor Hallman clarified that the issue is how the costs of this service would be allocated throughout the system.

Councilmember Ellis asked if the Broadmor School has converted to sprinklers.

Mr. Hawkes responded that they have converted to sprinklers, but still have an active irrigation account with SRP, so they have the opportunity to use the flood irrigation.

Council direction was requested for:

- use of the defined flood irrigation service area as the area to be considered for setting service and

maintenance components of the rates;

- tightening up of the service delivery process and gathering 6-months of data prior to conducting additional rate analysis;
- adoption of the cost allocation model as proposed; and
- maintenance charges to be assessed to all properties in the defined service area that have access to existing flood irrigation, even if they don't take the water.

Mayor Hallman added that concerning maintenance charges being assessed to all properties, as staff goes through this process, they will probably have a better understanding whether that makes sense. He added that in six to twelve months, staff should return for an update after gathering data and figuring what the costs are and how the model will work.

CONSENSUS

Staff directed to move forward as follows:

1. Use the defined flood irrigation service area as the area to be considered as basis for setting service and maintenance components of the rates.
2. Gather six months of data prior to conducting additional rate analysis.
3. Proceed with the cost allocation model as proposed.
4. Assess maintenance charges to all properties in defined service area that have access to existing flood irrigation infrastructure for delivery to that property.

Staff directed to return to Council within 6 to 12 months with data and recommended actions.

Follow-up Responsibility: Don Hawkes

Vice Mayor Hutson returned to the table.

Event Sponsorship Process

INFORMATIONAL BACKGROUND available in City Clerk's Office.

DISCUSSION – Presenter: Travis Dray; Shelley Hearn

Mayor Hallman summarized that staff and the TCVB are making this recommendation.

Councilmember Arredondo stated that staff has worked hard on this recommendation. It is solid, the TCVB has worked with the City Manager and staff, and he would recommend moving forward.

Councilmember Ellis asked that if Council agrees to this, is Council agreeing to a supplemental request for the next year, or would that be a separate discussion.

Shelley Hearn responded that it would go through the budget process.

Councilmember Ellis asked about those people currently serving on the Sponsorship Review Committee.

Mayor Hallman clarified that as part of the process, it is proposed that there will be a seven member board,

three members appointed by the Council, three by the TCVB, and one last member appointed by the Council and the TCVB. This is modeling what was done for the Joint Review Commission with ASU. Staff will determine who the people are who are currently serving and see if they want to opt for this commission.

Vice Mayor Hutson stated that Council and staff have been talking for a year or so about the number of events to go on at the Lake and how they will be controlled and to give citizens more access and participation. He understood that this committee would be the body that selects or denies the participants of any organized activity to come to the Lake.

Mayor Hallman clarified that this committee would only deal with sponsored events at the Lake.

Vice Mayor Hutson clarified that the major sponsorship events would not come to the Council for anything after that.

Ms. Hearn added that most of them are contracted events.

Vice Mayor Hutson responded that those contracts still come to the Council. He asked if the new contracts will still come to the Council.

Ms. Hearn responded that they would.

Mayor Hallman clarified that there are currently five sponsored events and the proposal is for seven sponsored events. Mark Richwine presented an analysis at the CCD COW identifying the events that didn't make the cut anymore and he suggested that staff return to the CCD COW to talk about how that has worked out over the last year and how they were using or abusing Tempe Beach Park. Council changed the model and the fee structure, and it is time to assess how it is working.

CONSENSUS

1. Staff directed to expand sponsorship process by partnering with Tempe Convention and Visitors Bureau (TCVB) and use contingency funds of \$25,000 to assist the additional event requests for current fiscal year.
2. Staff to return to Council for approval of formal agreement with TCVB that would outline the match of funds, terms, and overview of process
2. Staff to update the Central City Development Committee of the Whole regarding the changes and to assess the process.

Follow-up Responsibility: Travis Dray

Council Sub-Committees and Workplans

INFORMATIONAL BACKGROUND available in City Clerk's Office.

Mayor Hallman summarized that the goal was to give Councilmembers the opportunity to identify what they needed in their broad scopes of work. The scopes of work were very broad statements of what the Committees would entail, not the work plans.

Councilmember Arredondo distributed the proposed work plan for the Public Safety & Neighborhood Quality of Life Committee work plan. The Mayor had included “address neighborhood public safety.” He clarified that when we are talking about public safety, we are talking about police and fire.

Councilmember Mitchell stated that the golf program operations and capital improvement programs for enhancing and stabilizing golf program revenues and expenses might be better served under the Finance and Veterans Affairs Committee.

Councilmember Ellis stated the Transportation, Housing & Environment Committee has yet to formulate their work plan. Under #8 of the Scope of Work, it originally stated “green building standards”, and staff pointed out that “standard” applies that we are going to impose something. She would propose to edit it to say instead, “green building planning and building practices”. We need to decide what those practices are before we would require anything.

Mayor Hallman clarified that he had meant that we should look at the LEED standards. LEED standards are for a “one size fits all” environment. Tempe has a very unique environment and we ought to examine the standards to see whether or not we should be tailoring those standards when we decide what we want to achieve to fit our environment. We would look at the standards and determine standards that make sense for Tempe.

Councilmember Ellis added that the thought behind that was there are other communities that have set standards in place for businesses and we are not doing that.

Mayor Hallman stated that he still intended to bring people together to create a set of guidelines for buildings here that make more sense than some of the guidelines that are being imposed in other cities that don't make sense here.

Vice Mayor Hutson distributed the work plan for the Finance, Economy and Veterans Affairs Committee. He suggested that the Scope of Work be modified to include #4 and #5, which were the same items on their previous work plan. Economic development and related items needs to be closely associated with finance.

Mayor Hallman clarified that he put portions of those items into the Education, Technology and Economic Development Committee, because there is overlap between the two committees. The technology elements would be addressed in that committee and more straightforward development policy issues would come through the finance committee.

Councilmember Carter stated that she had no complaints, but she did shift neighborhood parks back to Councilmember Arredondo's committee. Also, Mr. Mitchell's committee has the regional parks. She did add a couple of bullets about programming the arts park. Mr. Mitchell's Committee speaks to the Warner-Hardy Sports Complex, Kiwanis Park, Rio Salado Park area because of his committee's efforts to create sports opportunities.

Mayor Hallman asked about the differentiation between one form of housing and another.

Councilmember Ellis responded that Councilmember Carter's Committee (Social Services & Culture) would have the service side of housing, and her Committee (Transportation, Housing & Environment) would have the programming side.

Councilmember Shekerjian noted that her committee (Education, Technology & Economic Development) is still working on Item #12 under the Technology/Economic Development portion. This is essentially the same work plan, but the items actually achieved have been removed, and Items #11 and #12 were added. They are still working on bullet points for those two items.

Mayor Hallman added that the formal adoption of the work plans will need to be done at the November 8th IRS meeting.

Councilmember Carter asked if every goal or bullet point will be looked at?

Mayor Hallman clarified that on November 8th, the adoption of the work plans will be agendaized and Council can decide to what degree they wish to look at them.

Councilmember Arredondo added that late today he was notified that the Principal of Tempe High School has come tonight and wants to thank everyone for their participation in the centennial celebration.

Mayor Hallman asked the City Attorney about the feasibility of moving public appearances at the Formal Meeting to coincide with the Mayor's announcements.

Andrew Ching responded that it could be done, as long as those who still wish to make appearances on other matters at the end of the meeting will have the opportunity to do so. As long as the record indicates that the agenda has been modified by consensus to move up part of the public appearances for that purpose, it would be acceptable. There can be no discussion or legal action taken on that appearance, however.

CONSENSUS

Agendaize for the November 8th IRS the adoption of Sub-Committee work plans with the following minor changes:

1. Tourism & Recreation Committee – Move golf program operations and capital improvements programs for enhancing and stabilizing golf program revenues and expenses to the Finance, Economy & Veterans Affairs Committee
2. Transportation, Housing & Environment Committee – Add to #8, "...green-building planning and building practices as make sense to Tempe to establish standards..."
3. Finance, Economy & Veterans Affairs Committee - #4 and #5 to be added back in from previous work plan.

Follow-up Responsibility: Mayor Hallman

Formal Council Agenda Items

No agenda items were discussed.

Future Agenda Items

None.

Mayor's Announcements/Manager's Announcements

None.

Meeting adjourned at 7:15 p.m.

Jan Hort
City Clerk